arXiv:1505.06365v1 [quant-ph] 23 May 2015

Hypergeometric Connotations of Quantum Equations

A. Plastino[1], M.C.Rocca[1]

[1] La Plata National University and Argentina's National Research Council (IFLP-CCT-CONICET)-C. C. 727, 1900 La Plata - Argentina

May 26, 2015

Abstract

We show that the Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations can both be derived from an Hypergeometric differential equation. The same applies to non linear generalizations of these equations.

Keywords: Schrödinger equation, Klein-Gordon equation, hypergeometric functions.

1 Introduction

The time-dependent Schrödinger equation is one of the more basic ones of physics, ruling all phenomena of the microscopic world and some of the macro. This fact notwithstanding, its origin is not yet widely appreciated and properly understood [1].
Quoting verbatim a poetic paragraph from [1] *The birth of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation was perhaps not unlike the birth of a river. Often, it is difficult to locate uniquely its spring despite the fact that signs may officially mark its beginning. Usually, many bubbling brooks and streams merge suddenly to form a mighty river. In the case of quantum mechanics, there are so many convincing experimental results that many of the major textbooks do not really motivate the subject.*
In this paper we uncover the rather surprising fact that the Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations can both be derived from an hypergeometric differential equation. The same applies to non linear generalizations of these equations, such as the ones recently proposed, in ad hoc fashion, by Nobre, Rego-Monteiro, and Tsallis (NRT) [2] (see Appendix B).
The paper is organized as follows. Section 2.1 deal with the hypergeometric Schrödinger derivation, Section 2.2 with the Klein Gordon one, and Section 3 with the non linear Schrödinger equation, that turns out to be different from the NRT equation. Section 4 illustrates the later equation with a wave packet example. Section 5 hypergeometrically derives a non linear Klein-Gordon equation, that does coincide with the NRT one. Some conclusions are drawn in Section 6, and special details are given in Appendixes A. B, and C.

2 Hypergeometric derivations: Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations

We start our considerations by showing that both Schrödinger's and Klein-Gordon's equations can be derived from the differential equation satisfied by the confluent hypergeometric function's ϕ without further ado.

2.1 Schrödinger's wave equation

We encounter on [3] the differential equation

$$U''(z) + U'(z) + \left[\frac{\lambda}{z} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \mu^2\right)\frac{1}{z^2}\right] U(z) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

and a solution is

$$U(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}+\mu} e^{-z} \phi(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \lambda, 2\mu + 1; z), \tag{2.2}$$

where ϕ is the confluent hypergeometric function. Appealing to the change of variables

$$2\mu + 1 = b \qquad \frac{1}{2} + \mu - \lambda, \tag{2.3}$$

Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) become

$$U''(z) + U'(z) + \left[\left(\frac{b}{2} - a\right)\frac{1}{z} - \left(\frac{b^2 - 2b}{4}\right)\frac{1}{z^2}\right] U(z) = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$U(z) = z^{\frac{b}{2}} e^{-z} \phi(a, b.z). \tag{2.5}$$

Introducing solution (2.5) into (2.4) we ascertain that the differential equation satisfied by ϕ is

$$z\phi''(a, b; z) + (b - z)\phi'(a, b; z) - a\phi(a, b; z) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

For the special instance $a = b$ we obtain (see [4])

$$\phi(a, a; z) = e^z, \tag{2.7}$$

and (2.5)) now becomes (for $a = b$)

$$z\phi''(a, a; z) + (a - z)\phi'(a, a; z) - a\phi(a, a; z) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Set z

$$z = \frac{i}{\hbar}(px - Et) \text{ and } E = \frac{p^2}{2m}. \tag{2.9}$$

Then, on account of (2.7) one has

$$e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(px-Et)} = \phi\left[a, a; \frac{i}{\hbar}(px - Et)\right] = \phi. \tag{2.10}$$

The associated confluent hypergeometric differential equation is now

$$\frac{i}{\hbar}(px - Et)\phi'' + \left[a - \frac{i}{\hbar}(px - Et)\right]\phi' - a\phi = 0, \tag{2.11}$$

and applying the identities

$$\phi'' = -\frac{\hbar^2}{p^2}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2} \qquad \phi' = \frac{i\hbar}{E}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = \phi, \tag{2.12}$$

together with a bit of algebraic manipulation, we see that (2.11) adopts the appearance

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{p^2}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{i\hbar}{E}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

or, equivalently,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2}. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $H_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ we can finally write

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = H_0\phi, \tag{2.15}$$

i.e., Schrödinger's free particle equation. For an arbitrary Hamiltonian H, (2.15) may be generalized to

$$\frac{i}{\hbar}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = H\phi, \tag{2.16}$$

the usual Schrödinger equation (SE). Thus, we obtain Schrödinger's wave equation directly from the hypergeometric differential equation and then, by suitable generalization, the usual SE.

2.2 Klein-Gordon's equation

We start with

$$z = i(kx - \omega t) \qquad \omega^2 = k^2c^2 + \frac{m^2c^4}{\hbar^2}, \tag{2.17}$$

and write

$$e^{i(kx-\omega t)} = \phi\left[a, a; i(kx - \omega t)\right] = \phi. \tag{2.18}$$

The operating confluent hypergeometric differential equation is here

$$i(kx-\omega t)\phi''+\left[a-i(kx-\omega t)\right]\phi'-a\phi=0, \tag{2.19}$$

so that, by appeal to the identities

$$\phi''=-\frac{1}{k^2}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2}\qquad \phi'=\frac{i}{\omega}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}=\phi, \tag{2.20}$$

we get for (2.19):

$$-\frac{1}{k^2}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2}-\frac{i}{\omega}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}=0. \tag{2.21}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}=\frac{i}{\omega}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial t^2}, \tag{2.22}$$

(2.21) becomes

$$-\frac{1}{k^2}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2}+\frac{i}{\omega^2}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial t^2}=0. \tag{2.23}$$

Using now the equality

$$\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2}=-k^2\phi, \tag{2.24}$$

and a little algebra we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial t^2}-\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2}+\frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\phi=0, \tag{2.25}$$

the desired Klein-Gordon equation.

3 A non linear Schrödinger equation

The above procedure can be generalized by appealing to the more general hypergeometric function F. This $F-$treatment has been worked out by us in [5], *only for the Schrödinger case and without reference* to the present confluent instance, originally developed in Section 2 above. We reconsider this non linear generalization here for completeness's sake, in order to better appreciate the workings of our hypergeometric approach. See more details in Appendix A.

As shown in [5], one can write

$$F(-\alpha,\gamma;\gamma;-z)=(1+z)^{\alpha}. \tag{3.1}$$

It follows that for Tsallis' imaginary q-exponential function (see its definition in [5]-[2]) one has

$$\left[1+\frac{i}{\hbar}(1-q)(px-Et)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}=F\left[\frac{1}{q-1},\gamma;\gamma;\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]\equiv F, \tag{3.2}$$

where $E=\frac{p^2}{2m}$. According to [6], F satisfies

$$z(1-z)F''(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z)+[\gamma-(\alpha+\beta+1)z]F'(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z)-\alpha\beta F(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z)=0. \tag{3.3}$$

After following developments detailed in Appendix A one arrives at

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right]^{q}=H_0\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right]. \tag{3.4}$$

Generalizing to arbitrary H we have

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\psi(x,t)}{\psi(0,0)}\right]^{q}=H\left[\frac{\psi(x,t)}{\psi(0,0)}\right]. \tag{3.5}$$

Differences and similarities between these equations and those obtained by Nobre, Rego-Monteiro, and Tsallis (NRT) [2] are fully discussed in [5]. Some NRT details are reviewed in Appendix B.

4 The q-Gaussian wave packet

We pass now to discuss an important solution of (3.5): the wave packet. Details of the ordinary case are summarized in Appendix C. The pertinent analysis for the NRT equation has been given in [8]. Setting $\psi(0,0)=1$ one has

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi^q}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} \tag{4.1}$$

Following [8], we propose as a solution

$$\psi=\left\{1+(q-1)\left[a(t)x^2+b(t)x+c(t)\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{1-q}}, \tag{4.2}$$

where a, b, and c are temporal functions to be determined. From $\psi(0,0) = 1$ one has $c(0) = 0$. Deriving ψ^q with respect to time we find

$$\frac{\partial \psi^q}{\partial t} = -q \left[\dot{a}(t)x^2 + \dot{b}(t)x + \dot{c}(t) \right] \psi^{q-1}. \tag{4.3}$$

We then look for the second $\psi-$ derivative with respect to x:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \left[2(q+1)a^2x^2 + 2(q+1)abx + b^2 - 2(q-1)ac - 2a \right] \psi^{q-1}. \tag{4.4}$$

Introducing these two results into (4.1) we are led to a non linear system for a, b, and c

$$imq\dot{a} = \hbar(q+1)a^2, \tag{4.5}$$

$$imq\dot{b} = \hbar(q+1)ab, \tag{4.6}$$

$$2imq\dot{c} = \hbar \left[qb^2 - 2(q-1)ac - 2a \right]. \tag{4.7}$$

This system's solution is given by

$$a(t) = \frac{mq}{i\hbar(q+1)t + mq\alpha}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$b(t) = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{[i\hbar(q+1)t + mq\alpha]}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$c(t) = (mq\alpha)^{\frac{1-q}{1+q}} \left(\frac{1}{q-1} - \frac{1}{4m^2q^2\beta^2\alpha} \right) [i\hbar(q+1)t + mq\alpha]^{\frac{q-1}{q+1}} + \frac{1}{4mq\beta^2[i\hbar(q+1)t + mq\alpha]} + \frac{1}{1-q}, \tag{4.10}$$

where α and β are constants to be fixed according to initial or boundary conditions for (4.1).
It is straightforward to prove that equations (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) are transformed into equations (C.8), (C.9) and (C.10) of Appendix C for $q \to 1$. Thus the q-Gaussian wave packet transforms into the Gaussian wave packet when $q \to 1$. Since our Eq. (3.4) is different from NRT's non linear one, so are also their associated wave packet solutions.

5 A hypergeometric-generated non-linear Klein-Gordon Equation

We derive now in hypergeometric fashion a non linear KG equation satisfied by the q-exponential function. Let $z = i(q-1)(kx - \omega t)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - z = [1 + i(1-q)(kx - \omega t)]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} = \\ = F\left[\tfrac{1}{q-1}, \gamma; \gamma; i(q-1)(kx - \omega t)\right] \equiv F. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Recourse to the equalities

$$F'' = -\frac{1}{k^2(q-1)^2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$F' = -\frac{1}{i\omega(q-1)}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = -\frac{F^{(1-q)}}{\omega^2 q(q-1)}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t^2}, \tag{5.3}$$

$$F = \frac{i}{\omega}F^{(1-q)}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}, \tag{5.4}$$

allow one to obtain, via (A.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(1-z)}{k^2(q-1)^2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} + \left[\gamma - \left(\frac{q}{q-1} + \gamma\right) z\right]\frac{1}{i\omega(q-1)}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \\ \frac{i\gamma}{\omega(q-1)}F^{(1-q)}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(1-z)}{k^2(q-1)^2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} + \left[\gamma(1-z) - \left(\frac{qz}{q-1}\right)\right]\frac{1}{i\omega(q-1)}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \\ \frac{i\gamma}{\omega(q-1)}F^{(1-q)}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Now, from (5.1), one has $1 - z = F^{(1-q)}$ so that (5.6) adopts the appearance

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zF^{(1-q)}}{k^2(q-1)}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} + \left[\gamma F^{(1-q)} - \left(\frac{qz}{q-1}\right)\right]\frac{1}{i\omega}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \\ \frac{i\gamma}{\omega}F^{(1-q)}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

and, simplifying terms,

$$\frac{zF^{(1-q)}}{k^2(q-1)}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}+\frac{iqz}{\omega(q-1)}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}=0, \tag{5.8}$$

entailing

$$\frac{F^{(1-q)}}{k^2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}+\frac{iq}{\omega}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}=0. \tag{5.9}$$

Using here (5.3) re reach

$$\frac{F^{(1-q)}}{k^2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}-\frac{F^{(1-q)}}{\omega^2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t^2}=0, \tag{5.10}$$

which, simplifying the common factor F^{1-q} in (5.10), yields

$$\frac{1}{k^2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}-\frac{1}{\omega^2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}=0. \tag{5.11}$$

Since, additionally, one has

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}=-k^2qF^{2q-1)}, \tag{5.12}$$

some algebra leads to

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t^2}-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}+\frac{qm^2c^2}{\hbar^2}F^{(2q-1)}=0. \tag{5.13}$$

If ϕ is given by

$$\phi(x,t)=A\left[1+i(1-q)(kx-\omega t)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}, \tag{5.14}$$

we find, via (5.13),

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\left[\frac{\phi(x,t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right]-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\left[\frac{\phi(x,t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right]+\frac{qm^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\left[\frac{\phi(x,t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right]^{(2q-1)}=0, \tag{5.15}$$

that in n dimensions becomes

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\left[\frac{\phi(\vec{x},t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right]-\nabla^2\left[\frac{\phi(\vec{x},t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right]+\frac{qm^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\left[\frac{\phi(\vec{x},t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right]^{(2q-1)}=0, \tag{5.16}$$

where

$$\phi(\vec{x},t)=A\left[1+i(1-q)(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}. \tag{5.17}$$

Eq. (5.16) and its two dimensional case (5.15) coincides with the non lineal Klein-Gordon equation advanced by NRT in [2].

6 Conclusions

In this work we have uncovered a rather surprising fact. Both that the Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations can be derived from an hypergeometric differential equation. The same procedure can be applied to non linear generalizations of these equations, such as the ones recently proposed by Nobre, Rego-Monteiro, and Tsallis (NRT) [2].

7 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge very fruitful discussion with Prof. A. R. Plastino.

Appendix A

Non linear Schrödinger Eq. of [5] (review)

The procedure of Section 2 can be generalized by appealing to the more general hypergeometric function F. This $F-$treatment has been previously developed by us in [5], *only for the Schrödinger case and without reference* to the confluent instance. We review this generalization here for completeness's sake.

As shown in [5], one can write

$$F(-\alpha,\gamma;\gamma;-z)=(1+z)^{\alpha}. \tag{A.1}$$

It follows that for Tsallis' imaginary q-exponential function (see its definition in [5]-[2]) one has

$$\left[1+\frac{i}{\hbar}(1-q)(px-Et)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}=F\left[\frac{1}{q-1},\gamma;\gamma;\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]\equiv F, \tag{A.2}$$

where $E=\frac{p^2}{2m}$. According to [6], F satisfies

$$z(1-z)F''(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z)+[\gamma-(\alpha+\beta+1)z]F'(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z)-\alpha\beta F(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z)=0. \tag{A.3}$$

For the particular case (A.2), this last expression adopts the appearance

$$\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\left[1-\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]F''+$$

$$\left[\gamma-\left(\frac{1}{q-1}+\gamma+1\right)\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]F'-\frac{\gamma}{q-1}F=0. \tag{A.4}$$

Accordingly, one can deduce a relationship between $\dot{F}$ (time) and F' (space) [see [5] for details]

$$F'\left[\frac{1}{q-1},\gamma;\gamma;\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]=$$

$$\frac{i\hbar}{(q-1)E}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F\left[\frac{1}{q-1},\gamma;\gamma;\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]. \tag{A.5}$$

In similar fashion one obtains [6]

$$F''\left[\frac{1}{q-1},\gamma;\gamma;\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]=$$
$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{(q-1)^2p^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}F\left[\frac{1}{q-1},\gamma;\gamma;\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]. \qquad (A.6)$$

Replacing (A.5) and (A.6) into (A.4), the later becomes

$$-\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\left[1-\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]\frac{\hbar^2}{(q-1)^2p^2}\frac{\partial^2F}{\partial x^2}+$$
$$\left[\gamma-\left(\frac{1}{q-1}+\gamma+1\right)\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]\frac{i\hbar}{(q-1)E}\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$
$$-\frac{\gamma}{q-1}F=0, \qquad (A.7)$$

that, in turn, can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\left[1-\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]\times\frac{\hbar^2}{(q-1)m^2}\frac{\partial^2F}{\partial x^2}+$$
$$\left[\gamma-\left(\frac{1}{q-1}+\gamma+1\right)\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]i\hbar\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}-\gamma EF=0. \qquad (A.8)$$

Also, we obtain from (A.2)

$$-\gamma EF\left[\frac{1}{q-1},\gamma;\gamma;\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]=$$
$$-i\hbar\gamma\left\{F\left[\frac{1}{q-1},\gamma;\gamma;\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]\right\}^{(1-q)}\times$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F\left[\frac{1}{q-1},\gamma;\gamma;\frac{i}{\hbar}(q-1)(px-Et)\right]. \qquad (A.9)$$

Using n (A.9), (A.8) adopts the appearance

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m(q-1)}\left[1-F^{(1-q)}\right]F^{(1-q)}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}F+$$

$$i\hbar\left\{\gamma+\left(\frac{1}{q-1}+\gamma+1\right)\left[F^{(1-q)}-1\right]\right\}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F-$$
$$i\hbar\gamma F^{(1-q)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F=0, \tag{A.10}$$

and, after simplifying,

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}F^{(1-q)}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}F-i\hbar q\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F=0, \tag{A.11}$$

that can be recast as

$$i\hbar q\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F=F^{(1-q)}H_0F, \tag{A.12}$$

whereH_0 is the free particle Hamiltonian. Note that for $q=1$ things‘properly reduce to Schrödinger's wave equation. If, instead of (A.2) we have

$$F(x,t)=A\left[1+\frac{1}{\hbar}(1-q)(px-Et)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}, \tag{A.13}$$

then $F(0,0)=A$ and (A.12) becomes

$$i\hbar q\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right]=\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right]^{(1-q)}H_0\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right], \tag{A.14}$$

or, equivalently,

$$i\hbar q\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right]^{(q-1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right]=H_0\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right], \tag{A.15}$$

that can in turn be written as

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right]^{q}=H_0\left[\frac{F(x,t)}{F(0,0)}\right]. \tag{A.16}$$

Generalizing to arbitrary H we have

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\psi(x,t)}{\psi(0,0)}\right]^{q}=H\left[\frac{\psi(x,t)}{\psi(0,0)}\right]. \tag{A.17}$$

Differences and similarities between these equations and those obtained by Nobre, Rego-Monteiro, and Tsallis (NRT) [2] are fully discussed in [5].

Appendix B

The NRT equation

We review here the NRT equation for the free particle in order to make this paper self-contained. It reads [2]

$$i\hbar(2-q)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\psi(\vec{x},t)}{\psi(0,0)}\right] = H_0\left[\frac{\psi(\vec{x},t)}{\psi(0,0)}\right]^{2-q}. \tag{B.1}$$

Setting $\phi = \psi^{2-q}$ we are led to

$$i\hbar(2-q)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\phi(\vec{x},t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} = H_0\left[\frac{\phi(\vec{x},t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right], \tag{B.2}$$

and generalizing to arbitrary H one writes

$$i\hbar(2-q)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\phi(\vec{x},t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} = H\left[\frac{\phi(\vec{x},t)}{\phi(0,0)}\right], \tag{B.3}$$

that in ψ terms becomes

$$i\hbar(2-q)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\psi(\vec{x},t)}{\psi(0,0)}\right] = H\left[\frac{\psi(\vec{x}x,t)}{\psi(0,0)}\right]^{2-q}. \tag{B.4}$$

Appendix C

The ordinary Gaussian wave packet

We want to tackle

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}, \tag{C.1}$$

via the Gaussian packet

$$\psi(x,t) = e^{-[a(t)x^2+b(t)x+c(t)]}, \tag{C.2}$$

with the initial condition $\psi(0,0) = 1$, entailing $c(0) = 0$. After a time derivative we get

$$\frac{\partial\psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = -[\dot{a}(t)x^2 + \dot{b}(t)x + \dot{c}(t)]\psi(x,t). \tag{C.3}$$

The spatial second derivative yields

$$\frac{\partial^2\psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = -[4a^2(t)x^2 + 4a(t)b(t)x + b^2(t) - 2a(t)]\psi(x,t). \tag{C.4}$$

Replacing (C.3) and (C.4) into (C.1) we obtain

$$\dot{a} = \frac{2\hbar}{im}a^2, \tag{C.5}$$

$$\dot{b} = \frac{2\hbar}{im}ab, \tag{C.6}$$

$$\dot{c} = \frac{\hbar}{2im}(b^2 - 2a), \tag{C.7}$$

whose solution reads

$$a(t) = \frac{m}{2i\hbar t + m\alpha}, \tag{C.8}$$

$$b(t) = \frac{1}{\beta}\frac{m}{[2i\hbar t + m\alpha]}, \tag{C.9}$$

$$c(t) = \frac{1}{4m\beta^2[2i\hbar t + m\alpha]} + \frac{1}{2}\ln(2i\hbar t + m\alpha) - \frac{1}{4m^2\beta^2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\ln(m\alpha), \tag{C.10}$$

where for c one has $c(0) = 0$.

References

[1] W. P. Schleicha, D. M. Greenbergera, D.H. Kobeb, M. O. Scully, PNAS **110** (2013) 5374.

[2] F. D. Nobre, M. A. Rego-Monteiro and C. Tsallis: Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 140601.

[3] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik: "Table of Integrals, Series and Products", **9.202**, p.1057. Academic Press (1965).

[4] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik: "Table of Integrals, Series and Products", **9.215**, p.1059. Academic Press (1965).

[5] A. Plastino and M. C. Rocca: arXiv:1505.01334 (2015).

[6] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik: "Table of Integrals, Series and Products", **9.151**, p.1045. Academic Press (1965).

[7] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun: "Handbook of Mathematical Functions", **15.1.8**, p.556. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series **55** (1964).

[8] S. Curilef, A. R. Plastino, A. Plastino, Physica A **392** (2013) 2631.